

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unph.gov.

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/537,995 03/29/2000 Shreedhar Madhavapeddi 2320 6754 7590 07/09/2004 **EXAMINER** Albert S Michalik TRAN, THIEN D Law Offices of Albert S. Michalik, PLLC PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 704 228th Avenue, NE Suite 193 2665 Sammanish, WA 98074 DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ask -	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/537,995	MADHAVAPEDDI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thien D Tran	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)		
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-41.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation "relative to the actual time between when each selected packet is sent and when each selected packet is received" in claims 1, 19, 29, 35, 39 raises new issue that would require further consideration and search.

STEVEN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER